



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

FLOWERS AND INSECTS. XVII.

CHARLES ROBERTSON.

For a more extended title of this series I have adopted that of "Contributions to an account of the ecological relations of the entomophilous flora and the anthophilous insect fauna of the neighborhood of Carlinville, Illinois." The following papers should be regarded as parts of the same series: Flowers and Insects: Umbelliferæ. *Trans. St. Louis Acad. Science* 5: 449-460. 1890; Asclepiadaceæ to Scrophulariaceæ, *ibid.* 5: 569-598. 1891; Labiataæ. *ibid.* 6: 101-131. 1892. (no. 4); Rosaceæ and Compositæ, *ibid.* 6: 435-480. 1894. (no. 14); Flowers and Insects, *ibid.* 7: 151-179. 1896. (no. 6); The Philosophy of Flower Seasons, *American Naturalist* 29: 97-117. 1895. The cases of some plants, such as those observed in Florida, which properly do not come under the title, are distinctly specified.

The present paper discusses a number of plants, which, although not akin, should be compared because of the influence which their greenish yellow colors have been considered to have in determining the character of the insect visits.

CAULOPHYLLUM THALICTROIDES (L.) Michx. is a perennial plant, rather frequent in rich woods, and blooming a short time, April 23d to May 7th. The stems grow several decimeters high and bear single small loose panicles of yellowish green flowers. The flowers expand horizontally about 10^{mm}, and, I think, remain open at night. Each of the six sepals has, lying upon its base, a short petal which is somewhat kidney-shaped, being expanded into a nectar gland as wide as the sepal. The style is very short and is tipped by a small stigma, which is receptive before the anthers dehisce. From the shortness of the stamens, as well as their later dehiscence, I think that spontaneous self-pollination does not occur. According to the views usually held with regard to

flowers of like color and nectar exposure, we might expect a strong predominance of flies. My observations do not show this.

With the exception of no. 18, taken April 23d, the following list was observed on May 1st:

HYMENOPTERA—*Andrenidæ*: (1) *Halictus confusus* Sm. ♀, s. & c. p.; (2) *H. 4-maculatus* Rob. ♀, s.; (3) *Augochlora viridula* Sm. ♀, s.; *Braconidæ*: (4) *Bracon trifolii* Ashm.; (5) *B. veronniæ* Ashm.; (6) *Microgaster gelechiæ* Riley, ab.; (7) *Opius ruficeps* Prov.; (8) *Dacnusa flavicincta* Ashm.; *Chalcididæ*: (9) *Prosacantha illinoensis* Ashm. (MS)—all s.

DIPTERA—*Mycetophilidæ*: (10) *Dynatosoma thoracica* Coq. (MS); *Empidæ*: (11) *Rhamphomyia piligeronis* Coq. (MS); *Syrphidæ*: (12) *Chilosia capillata* Lw.; (13) *Melanostoma obscurum* Say; (14) *Rhingia nasica* Say; *Anthomyidæ*: (15) *Hylemyia plumosa* Coq. (MS); (16) *Mydæa flavipes* Coq. (MS); *Oscinidæ*: (17) *Chlorops trivialis* Lw.—all s.

COLEOPTERA—*Mordellidæ*: (18) *Mordellistena biplagiata* Hel.; *Curculionidæ*: (19) *Idiostethus subcalvus* Casey, both s.

PTELEA TRIFOLIATA L.—According to Hildebrand (1) and Kerner (3), the flowers are staminate and perfect. Urban (2) indicates that *Ptelea* is diœcious, and that self-pollination is impossible. As far as I have observed, it has appeared that this species is diœcious. I could find no perfect flowers.

The greenish white blossoms expand from 10 to 15^{mm} and are crowded in compound cymes, which are nearly level topped and form convenient resting places for insects. In both forms nectar is secreted by the gynophore and is slightly concealed by the hairy bases of the filaments.

The following table shows the kinds of insects taken on *Xanthoxylum Americanum* and *Ptelea trifoliata*, the former blooming from April 12th to 28th and the latter from May 8th to June 12th:

	Other Hymenoptera	Apidæ	Andrenidæ	Diptera	Lepidoptera
<i>Xanthoxylum Americanum</i> (39)	0	6	19	13	1
<i>Ptelea trifoliata</i> (51)	12	1	22	14	2

The difference in Apidæ may be partly on account of the former having the nectar more concealed, but is mainly, I think, on account of the blooming time. At any rate, three of the Apidæ taken on *Xanthoxylum* have finished their flight before

Ptelea goes out of bloom. Of the twelve species of lower Hymenoptera taken on *Ptelea*, not one is flying during the period of *Xanthoxylum*. The inflorescence of *Ptelea* is more favorable for their visits.

The principal pollinators are Andrenidæ. May 28th, 30th and June 1st, 4th and 8th the following list was observed :

HYMENOPTERA—*Apidæ* : (1) *Apis mellifica* L. ♂, s., freq.; *Andrenidæ* : (2) *Halictus coriaceus* Sm. ♀, s.; (3) *H. ligatus* Say ♀, s.; (4) *H. lerouxii* Lep. ♀, s.; (5) *H. cressonii* Rob. ♀, s. & c. p.; (6) *H. pilosus* Sm. ♀, s.; (7) *H. confusus* Sm. ♀, s. & c. p.; (8) *H. stultus* Cr. ♀, s. & c. p.; (9) *H. tegularis* Rob. ♀, s. & c. p.; (10) *Agapostemon radiatus* Say, ♀, s.; (11) *Augochlora pura* Say, ♀, s.; (12) *Andrena robertsonii* D. T., ♀, s. & c. p., freq.; (13) *A. platyparia* Rob. ♂♀, s. & c. p.; (14) *A. cressonii* Rob. ♀, s.; (15) *A. bipunctata* Cr. ♀, s. & c. p., freq.; (16) *A. nuda* Rob. ♀, s. & c. p.; (17) *A. rugosa* Rob. ♀, s.; (18) *A. spiræana* Rob. ♂♀, s.; (19) *A. hippotes* Rob. ♀, s. & c. p., ab.; (20) *A. claytoniæ* Rob. ♀, s., freq.; (21) *A. cratægi* Rob. ♀, s. & c. p.; (22) *Sphecodes confertus* Say ♀, s., freq.; (23) *Prosopis modesta* Say, ♂, s.; *Eumenidæ* : (24) *Eumenes fraternus* Say, s.; (25–27) *Odynerus* spp., s.; (28) *O. unifasciatus* Sauss., s.; (29) *O. tigris* Sauss., s.; (30) *O. foraminatus* Sauss., s.; *Crabronidæ* : (31) *Oxybelus illinoensis* Rob. (MS), s.; *Philanthidæ* : (32) *Cerceris compar* Cr., s.; *Sphecidæ* : (33) *Ammophila vulgaris* Cr., s.; *Scoliidæ* : (34) *Elis confuente* Say, s.; *Chalcididæ* : (35) *Leucospis affinis* Say, s.

DIPTERA—*Stratiomyidæ* : (36) *Stratiomyia meigenii* Wd.; *Conopidæ* : (37) *Conops brachyrhynchus* Mcq., s.; (38) *Myopa vesiculosa* Say, s.; *Syrphidæ* : (39) *Sphærophoria cylindrica* Say, s.; (40) *Myolepta nigra* Will., s.; (41) *Volucella vesiculosa* F., s.; (42) *Mallota cimbiciformis* Fil. f. *bautias* Wlk., s.; (43) *Syritta pipiens* L., s.; *Tachinidæ* : (44) *Trichopoda* sp., s.; (45) *Jurinia smaragdina* Mcq., s.; (46) *J. apicifera* Wlk., s.; (47) *Micropalpus fulgens* Mg., s.; *Muscidæ* : (48) *Lucilia cornicina* F., s.; *Anthomyidæ* : (49) *Phorbia fusciceps* Zett.

LEPIDOPTERA—*Rhopalocera* : (50) *Neonymphyha eurytris* F., s.; *Heterocera* : (51) *Alypia octomaculata* Hbn.

Trelease (MS notes) captured the following insects on the flowers :

HYMENOPTERA—*Apidæ* : (1) *Psithyrus (Apathus) laboriosus* F., ♀; (2) *Nomada* sp.; *Andrenidæ* : (3) *Halictus pilosus* Sm., ♀; (4) *Andrena pruni* Rob., ♀; (5) *A. illinoensis* Rob., ♀; (6) *A. cratægi* Rob., ♀; (7) *Agapostemon viridulus* F., ♀; (8) *Sphecodes confertus* Say, ♀; (9) *Prosopis modesta* Say, ♀; *Vespidæ* : (10) *Vespa germanica* F.; (11) *Polistes metricus* Say; *Eumen-*

idæ: (12) *Odynerus albophaleratus* Sauss.; *Crabronidæ*: (13) *Oxybelus 4-notatus* Say; *Philanthidæ*: (14) *Cerceris pedalis* Cr.

COLEOPTERA—*Coccinellidæ*: (15) *Analia bipunctata* L.; *Dermestidæ*: (16) *Anthrenus scrophulariæ* L.; *Lampyridæ*: (17) *Chaulognathus pennsylvanicus* De G.; and other insects which I have not seen, probably flies.

On the literature of *Ptelea* see:

(1) Hildebrand, Geschlechtsvertheilung bei den Pflanzen, 11:26. 1867.
—(2) Urban, Zur Biologie und Morphologie der Rutaceen, Jahrb. bot. Gartens Berlin 2:397-8. 1883. (Just 11:497.)—(3) Kerner, Pflanzenleben 2:295. 1891. (Just 18:486.)

RHAMNUS L.—The species which have been studied are dioecious—*R. cathartica* (Darwin 7), *saxatilis* and *tinctoria* (Kerner 19)—or with flowers perfect, as in *R. Frangula* and *pumila* (Müller 3, 11), the former being proterandrous and the latter homogamous. *R. cathartica* has four sub-forms (Darwin 7), and *Frangula* shows a tendency to produce a long and short-styled form, as in our *R. lanceolata* (Schulz 17).

The flowers are small, greenish, with easily accessible nectar and have been considered to be adapted to flies (Delpino 5, Müller 12, 13), but this does not seem to be supported by sufficient data. Still more extreme is the limitation of the proper visitors to flesh-flies (Kerner 19). My list of visitors of *R. lanceolata* resembles those of white or yellow flowers with similarly placed nectar and blooming about the same time. The results of the observation of different species in separate regions is given in the following table:

	Apidæ	Andrenidæ	Other Hymenop	Diptera	Coleoptera	Total
<i>Rhamnus lanceolata</i> , Illinois - - - - - }	4	23	3	22		52
<i>R. Frangula</i> , Low Germany, Müller (3, 10) }	2	1	2	1		6
<i>R. Frangula</i> , Flanders, MacLeod (20) - - }	2			1	1	4
<i>R. pumila</i> , Alps, Müller (11) - - - - - }			4	8	5	17

RHAMNUS LANCEOLATA Pursh.—According to Darwin (7), this species is dimorphous, but not properly heterostyled. The small trees grow as high as three or four meters and bear

numerous greenish flowers which appear with the leaves. The stamens are exserted so that the pollen may be eaten by Syrphidæ or collected by Andrenidæ, but the style is short and included. The calyx tube is about 2^{mm} deep and 1^{mm} wide. Consequently the nectar, which is secreted by a disk lining the tube, is readily accessible to small, short-tongued insects. From their structure and blooming time, April 23d to May 10th, the flowers seem to be specially adapted to Andrenidæ, but they are also visited less abundantly and less efficiently by flies. On the 1st and 2d of May I captured the following visitors:

HYMENOPTERA—*Apidæ*: (1) *Apis mellifica* L. ♀, s., one; (2) *Bombus americanorum* F. ♀, s.; (3) *Ceratina dupla* Say ♂, s.; (4) *Nomada maculata* Cr. ♀, s.; *Andrenidæ*: (5) *Halictus foxii* Rob. ♀, s. and c. p., freq.; (6) *H. arcuatus* Rob. ♀, s. and c. p.; (7) *H. forbesii* Rob. ♀, s. and c. p.; (8) *H. lerouxii* Lep. ♀, s. and c. p.; (9) *H. fasciatus* Nyl. ♀, s. and c. p., ab.; (10) *H. pilosus* Sm. ♀, s. and c. p., freq.; (11) *H. confusus* Sm., ♀, s. and c. p., freq.; (12) *H. pruinosus* Rob. ♀, s.; (13) *H. illinoensis* Rob. ♀, s.; (14) *H. zephyrus* Sm. ♀, s. and c. p.; (15) *H. stultus* Cr. ♀; (16) *Agapostemon radiatus* Say ♀, s., freq.; (17) *Augochlora viridula* Sm. ♀, s.; (18) *A. pura* Say ♀, s. and c. p., freq.; (19) *A. labrosa* Say ♀, s. and c. p.; (20) *Andrena erythrogastra* Ashm. ♂, s.; (21) *A. mandibularis* Rob. ♀, s.; (22) *A. nasonii* Rob. ♀, s. and c. p.; (23) *A. cressonii* Rob. ♂♀, s. and c. p., ab.; (24) *A. bipunctata* Cr. ♂, s.; (25) *A. ziziæ* Rob. ♂♀, s. and c. p., freq.; (26) *A. cratægi* Rob. ♂, s.; (27) *Sphecodes mandibularis* Cr. ♀, s.; *Eumenidæ*: (28) *Eumenes fraternus* Say, s.; (29) *Odynerus tigris* Sauss., s.; *Tenthredinidæ*: (30) *Dolerus arvensis* Say, s.

DIPTERA—*Empidæ*: (31) *Rhamphomyia priapulus* Lw.; *Syrphidæ*: (32) *Pipiza femoralis* Lw.; (33) *Chrysogaster nitida* Wd.; (34) *Syrphus ribesii* L.; (35) *S. americanus* Wd.; (36) *Xanthogramma felix* O. S.; (37) *Allograpta obliqua* Say, freq.; (38) *Mesograpta geminata* Say, ab.; (39) *M. marginata* Say; (40) *Sphærophoria cylindrica* Say, freq.; (41) *Helophilus similis* Mcq.; (42) *Syritta pipiens* L.; *Tachinidæ*: (43) *Cyphocera fuesta* V. d. W.; *Sarcophagidæ*: (44) *Cynomyia mortuorum* L.; (45) *Sarcophaga ægra* Wlk.; (46) *S. cimbicis* Twms.; *Muscidæ*: (47–48) *Lucilia* spp.; (49) *L. latifrons* Schin.; *Cordyluridæ*: (50) *Scatophaga squalida* Mg.; *Anthomyidæ*: (51) *Phorbia acra* Wlk.; (52) *P. fusciceps* Zett.—all s. or f. p.

On the literature of *Rhamnus* see:

(1) Darwin, on the two forms, or dimorphic condition, in the species of *Primula*, and on their remarkable sexual relations; Journ. Linn. Soc. Bot. 6:95. 1862—*R. lanceolata*. (2) Hildebrand, Geschlechtsvertheilung bei den Pflanzen 9:40. 1867—*R. cathartica, lanceolata*. (3) Müller, Befruchtung

der Blumen 152. 1873—*R. Frangula*. (4) Kerner, Die Schutzmittel des Pollens 56. 1873. (5) Delpino, Ulteriori osservazioni, pt. II, fasc. 2:20, 214, 300, 316, Att. Soc. Ital. Sci. Nat., Milano 16:168. 1873; 17:—. 1874—*R. cathartica*, *Frangula*, *alterna* (Just 2:895). (6) Lubbock, British wild flowers in relation to insects 79. 1875—*R. cathartica*, *Frangula*, *lanceolata*. (7) Darwin, Forms of flowers, 273-7. 1877—*R. cathartica*, *lanceolata*, *Frangula*. (8) Bonnier, Les Nectaires, Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot. 8:39. 1878. *R. Frangula*, *alpina*, inconspicuous flowers abundantly visited. (9) Dodel-Port, Die Liebe der Blumen 4-5:185-240. 1880—*R. cathartica* (Just 8:183). (10) Müller, Weitere Beobachtungen, II, Verh. naturhist. Ver. preuss. Rheinl. u. Westf. 212. 1879—*R. Frangula*. (11) Müller, Alpenblumen 169-71. 1881—*R. pumila*. (12) Müller, Geschichte der Erklärungsversuche in Bezug auf die biologische Bedeutung der Blumenfarben, Kosmos 12:125, N., 1882 (Just 9:506). (13) Müller, Die biologische Bedeutung der Blumenfarben, Biol. Centralblatt 3:99, Ap. 1883. (14) Müller, Die Stellung der Honigbiene in der Blumenwelt, III, Deutsche Bienenzeit. 39:157-61. 1883—*R. pumila*, Apis wanting. (15) Müller, Fertilization of flowers, 163-4. 1883—*R. Frangula*, *cathartica*, *lanceolata*, *pumila*. (16) Kirchner, Flora von Stuttgart und Umgebung, 363-4. 1888—*R. Frangula*, *cathartica*. (17) Schulz, Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Bestäubungseinrichtungen und Geschlechtsvertheilung bei den Pflanzen, 1:31. 1888; 2:61, 185. 1890. Bibliotheca Botanica, 10 und 17—*R. Frangula*, *cathartica*, *pumila*. (18) Trelease, North American Rhamnaceæ, Trans., St. Louis Acad. Sci. 5:359. (19) Kerner, Pflanzenleben, 2:169, etc. 1891—*R. cathartica*, *saxatilis*, *tinctoria*. (20) MacLeod, Over de bevruchting der bloemen in het kempisch gedeelte van Vlaanderen, Bot. Jaarboek. 6:247-9, 438, 1894—*R. Frangula*, *cathartica*. (21) Loew, Blütenbiologische Floristik 36:215. 1894—*R. pumila*, *Frangula*, *cathartica*, *saxatilis*.

RHUS L.—The species are said to be polygamous or polygamo-dioecious. It might be better to call them dioecious, though of a recent form, for the staminate and pistillate flowers have large rudiments of pistils and stamens, and there is a tendency for them to revert to the perfect condition. Müller (4, 14) and Kerner (16) mention *R. Cotinus* as polygamous; but in Halle and in South Tyrol Schulz (15) found it to be dioecious, though it appears (Loew¹ 20) that in the former locality he afterwards found polygamous examples. In the manual *R. typhina* is called polygamous, while Müller calls it dioecious.

¹ In the Floristik, unfortunately, Loew mentions an author without citing any of the separate papers listed under that author's name.

Meehan (6, 18) referring to the fact that *R. copallina*, *venenata* and *Toxicodendron* are variously classed as dioecious, polygamodioecious, or polygamous, insists that they and *R. cotinoides* are all truly dioecious. I regard *R. glabra* and *Canadensis* as dioecious.

In regard to the staminate, perfect, and pistillate flowers of *R. Cotinus*, Müller observes that they decrease in size in the order mentioned, and that, consequently, most insects visit them in the most advantageous order. Schulz failed to confirm the latter observation. In *R. glabra* and *Canadensis*, I think insects prefer the staminate flowers, partly because they are more conspicuous and because they contain pollen as well as nectar, and that the order of their visits is advantageous. However, I do not believe that natural selection has operated in producing the difference, and so hold that it would be erroneous to say that the difference exists to secure the advantage. As a rule stamens are more conspicuous than pistils, and it is quite obvious that a small flower containing five stamens will be more evident than one containing a single pistil. The larger perianth may be explained as existing to support, and at first to protect, this exterior set of organs.

Two effects upon the insect visitors have been attributed to the dull yellow colors of *Rhus*. Müller says that *R. Cotinus*, like all other flowers of a dull yellow color, is almost completely avoided by Coleoptera. The general proposition is denied by Bonnier (9), and Schultz says that it is not true for *R. Cotinus* in the Tyrol, where he found many beetles among the visitors. *Pastinaca*, on which I have taken forty species of beetles, is mentioned by Müller as an example of the same kind.

The idea that the flowers of *Rhus* were specially attractive to flies (macromyophilous) seems to have originated with Del-pino (5). The "Tipo ramnaceo," which he regards as macromyophilous, includes the greenish yellow species of *Rhus*, *Rhamnus*, *Euonymus*, *Euphorbia*, etc. In a special paper on the biological significance of flower-colors Müller (12) says that greenish yellow colors are frequent in flowers among whose visitors the

larger Diptera predominate. Both authors distinguish these cases from the dark colored flowers, like *Stapelia*, *Asimina*, etc., which they consider to be adapted to flesh flies. The view in regard to the greenish yellow flowers does not seem to have been supported, if not entirely refuted, by subsequent investigations. Kerner's view (16) that these colors are specially attractive to flesh flies was never held either by Del-pino or Müller, and so may be considered to be supported neither by authority nor recorded observations. Of the greenish yellow flowers which bloom in my neighborhood I have found a preponderance of general Diptera on none except *Sassafras*. Indeed I expect *Smilax herbacea* and *S. ecirrhata* to show a preponderance of flesh flies, but they differ from the others, and from all of the cases cited by Kerner, in having a scent of carrion.

The following table gives results of observations of insect visitors of *Rhus* in cases in which the species have been identified. The Andrenidae and lower Hymenoptera preponderate over the Diptera. In the Tyrol Schulz saw *R. Cotinus* very abundantly visited by a set of insects which in a general way must resemble my list for *R. glabra* (19).

	Apidæ	Andrenidæ	Other Hymenop	Dipteria	Other insects	Total
<i>Rhus Cotinus</i> —Low Germany—Müller } (4,14)	1	3	6	6	1	17
<i>Rhus typhina</i> —Low Germany—Müller } (4,14)	1	1	..	..	1	3
<i>Rhus glabra</i> —Illinois (19) }	3	16	13	25	1	58
<i>Rhus Canadensis</i> — Illinois (19) }	2	21	1	9	..	33

RHUS CANADENSIS Marsh. *R. aromatica* Ait. This is a slender shrub growing on high creek banks, the stems rising from 1 to 2^m high. The branchlets are terminated by clusters of about three small, head-like racemes, which measure 8–10^{mm} in length, and appear before the leaves. The flower buds escape from hibernacula whose scales still clasp the bases of the stalks.

The flowers are small, greenish yellow, with short petals. They are quite shallow, the nectar being almost freely exposed. Nectar is secreted by five orange colored glands situated between the bases of the filaments. The staminate flowers have the petals a little longer and more often expanded, so that this form is the more conspicuous. The nectar glands are larger, more triangular and united at base. The pistil is so strongly developed that the flower appears to be perfect. In the pistillate flower the nectar glands are more bilobed. The stamens are of normal form, but greatly reduced in size, and are without pollen. Both forms are abundantly visited by insects.

In the case of *Xanthoxylum Americanum*, which blooms from April 12th to 28th, and *Ptelea trifoliata*, blooming from May 8th to June 12th, we have observed that the lists differ in the absence of the lower Aculeata from *Xanthoxylum*. This was explained as a result of the difference in their blooming time. If we compare *R. Canadensis*—April 4th to 27th—with *R. glabra*—June 8th to 24th—we find the same result. In the former case not one of the lower Aculeata occurring on *Ptelea* flies while *Xanthoxylum* is in bloom. Here we have a similar condition, for *Polistes metricus* is the only one taken on *R. glabra* which is flying during the flower season of *R. Canadensis*. The large inflorescences of *Ptelea* and *R. glabra* form more convenient resting places for these often large straddling insects. The differences in the inflorescences may be accounted for partly by the difference in the composition of the late insect fauna; but the early months, when there is apt to be frost, are not favorable for the development of large flower clusters. Then, too, before the leaves appear, the smaller clusters are sufficiently conspicuous. Other differences in the lists are connected with the blooming time, viz., the advent of *Prosopis*, substitution of two late *Colletes* for the early *C. inaequalis*, and an increase of *Halictus* associated with the decline of the vernal species of *Andrena*.

The following visitors of *R. Canadensis* were taken on April 4th, 10th, 12th and 19th:

HYMENOPTERA—*Apidae*: (1) *Ceratina tejonensis* Cr., ♂; (2) *Nomada*

maculata Cr., ♂♀, freq.; *Andrenidæ*: (3) *Halictus* sp. ♀; (4) *H. foxii* Rob., ♀, freq.; (5) *H. forbesii* Rob., ♀, freq.; (6) *H. ligatus* Say, ♀; (7) *H. cressonii* Rob., ♀; (8) *H. zephyrus* Sm., ♀, freq.; (9) *H. stultus* Cr., ♀; (10) *Agapostemon texanus* Cr., ♀; (11) *Augochlora pura* Say, ♀; (12) *Andrena* sp. ♂♀, freq.; (13) *A. vicina* Sm., ♂♀, freq.; (14) *A. erythrogastra* Ashm., ♀; (15) *A. mandibularis* Rob., ♂♀, freq.; (16) *A. illinoensis* Rob., ♀; (17) *A. cressonii* Rob., ♂; (18) *A. bipunctata* Cr., ♂♀, freq.; (19) *A. rugosa* Rob., ♂♀, ab.; (20) *A. mariæ* Rob., ♂, freq.; (21) *A. claytoniæ* Rob., ♂♀, ab.; (22) *A. forbesii* Rob., ♀; (23) *Colletes inæqualis* Say, ♂, freq.; *Ichneumonidæ*: (24) *Lampronota coxalis* Ashm. (MS.), ♀, type.

DIPTERA—*Empidæ*: (25) *Rhamphomyia priapulus* Lw.; *Syrphidæ*: (26) *Syrphus americanus* Wd., freq.; (27) *S. ribesii* L.; (28) *Eristalis dimidiatus* Wd.; *Tachinidæ*: (29) *Gonia frontosa* Say, freq.; *Sarphagidæ*: (30) *Cynomyia mortuorum* L.; *Muscidæ*: (31) *Lucilia cornicina* F., freq.; *Sciomyzidæ*: (32) *Tetanocera pictipes* Lw.; *Lonchæidæ*: (33) *Lonchæa polita* Say—all sucking.

On the literature of *Rhus* see:

(1) Hildebrand, Geschlechtsvertheilung bei den Pflanzen 10. 1867—*R. Toxicodendron*. (2) Axell, Om anordningarna für de fanerogama växternas befruktning 47. 1869—*R. Toxicodendron*. (3) Delpino, Altri apparecchii dicogamici recentemente osservati, Nuovo Giorn. Bot. Ital. 2:52. 1870. (4) Müller, Befruchtung der Blumen 157–8. 1873. (5) Delpino, Ulteriori osservazioni, Part II, fasc. 2:20, 214, 300. 1875, Atti. Soc. Ital. Sci., Milano 16:168. 1873; 17. 1874 (Just. 2:882, 895). (6) Meehan, On hermaphroditism in *Rhus cotinus* and in *Rhus glabra*, Proc. A. A. A. S., 1873; B. 73–5. (7) Meehan, On self-fertilization and cross-fertilization in flowers, The Penn Monthly, N. 1876 (Just. 4:939). (8) Müller, Das Variiren der Grösse gefärbter Blüthenhüllen und sein Einfluss auf die Naturzüchtung der Blumen, Kosmos 2:132–3. 1887—*R. Cotinus*, *typhina* (Just. 5:740–1). (9) Bonnier, Les Nectaires, Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot. VI, 8:71. 1878—*R. Cotinus*. (10) Patton, Observations on the genus *Macropis*, Am. Journ. Sci. and Arts III, 18:211, 212. 1879—*R. glabra typhina* (Just 7:145). (11) Bontroux, Sur l'habitat et la conservation des lévures spontanées, Bull. Soc. Linn. Normandie, III, 6. 1881—*R. Cotinus* (Just 13:745). (12) Müller, Die biologische Bedeutung der Blumenfarben, Biol. Cent. 3:99. 1883 (Just 9:506). (13) Müller, Die Stellung der Honigbiene in der Blumenwelt, III, Bienenzeit, Jahrg. 39:157–161. 1883—*R. typhina* (Just 11:476). (14) Müller, Fertilization of Flowers, 166–7. 1883. (15) Schulz, Beiträge Zur Kenntniss der Bestäubungseinrichtungen und Geschlechtsvertheilung bei den Pflanzen 2:62–4, 186. 1890, Bibliotheca Botanica 17 (Just 18:517). (16) Kerner, Pflanzenleben 2:192, 297. 1891; Kerner & Oliver 2:173, 197, 297. 1895 (Just 17:531, 2; 18:486). (17) Engler, Anacardiaceæ, Engler u. Prantl, Die nat. Pflanzenfamilien, 73:142. 1892 [Th. III, Abth. S]—*R. Cotinus* (Just 20:481). (18)

Meehan, Contributions to the life histories of plants, VIII, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci., Phila., 1892, 369-71 (Just 20:494). (19) Robertson, Flowers and insects, XII, Bot. Gaz. 19:111, 112. 1894. (20) Loew, Blütenbiologische Floristik, 215 — *R. Cotinus*.

SASSAFRAS OFFICINALE Nees. *S. Sassafras* (L.) Karst. Hildebrand (1) observes that the pistillate and staminate flowers each have rudiments of the other set of organs, being what Kerner (2) calls pseudo-hermaphrodite. According to Bentham and Hooker's *Genera Plantarum*, and Gray's *Manual* this species is dioecious; and that is what I have always regarded it, though I paid attention to little except the insect visitors. Chapman, in the *Flora of the Southern States*, calls it dioeciously polygamous, while Kerner calls it polygamous. My observations were made upon trees which I supposed bore only staminate flowers.

The flowers are greenish yellow, expand about 8 or 9^{mm}, and are arranged in corymbose clusters, which appear with the leaves. There are nine stamens. The three inner ones have at base of each a pair of stalked glands which secrete nectar. The nectar is therefore fully exposed on a convex surface.

There are a number of early flowers with convenient nectar, some of which on account of their greenish yellow color have been supposed to be principally visited by flies. In all except *Caulophyllum* and *Sassafras* the less specialized bees, Andrenidæ, outnumber the flies. *Sassafras* is the only one on which the flies clearly preponderate. In most of the species the nectar tends to collect in shallow cups, which make it very convenient for the Andrenidæ, while in *Caulophyllum* and *Sassafras* it is secreted on convex surfaces, which make it more convenient for flies and less convenient for the little bees. However, the exposure of the nectar does not explain why *Sassafras* shows a preponderance of Diptera, but only why it shows more flies than the other greenish yellow flowers blooming about the same time. During the blooming season, April 19th-May 7th, the flowers are exposed to none of the lower aculeate Hymenoptera, except eight species of *Vespa* and *Polistes* and *Priocnemis conicus*. The last is the only one of these taken on the flowers. It happens to be the only one of the *Pompilidæ* flying during the blooming season. Sup-

pose that *Sassafras* bloomed in the last of July, what would there be to keep it from being visited by several of the nineteen species of *Pompilidæ* flying at that time, or by many other short-tongued Aculeata which are then very abundant? In the south the lower Aculeata begin to fly earlier, and I should expect *Sassafras*, and many other early flowers with exposed or slightly concealed nectar, to show an increase in the proportion of these insects as we move in that direction.

The following insects were taken on the flowers on April 27th and 29th:

HYMENOPTERA—*Andrenidæ*: (1) *Halictus cressonii* Rob., ♀; (2) *H. confusus* Sm., ♀, s. & c. p.; (3) *H. stultus* Cr., ♀; (4) *Andrena* sp. ♀; (5) *A. illinoensis* Rob., ♂; (6) *A. hippotes* Rob., ♂; *Pompilidæ*: (7) *Priocnemis conicus* Say; *Chalcididæ*: (8) *Eurytoma* sp.; *Ichneumonidæ*: (9) *Pimpla annulipes* Br.; (10) *Idiolespa anilis* Grav.; (11) *Ophion bifoveolatum* Br.; *Tenthredinidæ*: (12) *Hylotoma mcleayi* Leach; (13) *Monophadnus medius* Norton.

DIPTERA—*Simulidæ*: (14) *Simulium pecuarum* Riley; *Bibionidæ*: (15) *Bibio pallipes* Say, freq.; (16) *B. femorata* Wd.; *Stratiomyidæ*: (17) *Sargus iridis* Say; *Empidæ*: (18) *Empis compta* Coq. (MS); (19) *Rhamphomyia ravida* Coq. (MS); (20) *R. piligeronis* Coq. (MS.); (21) *R. priapulus* Lw., freq.; (22) *R. mutapilis* Lw., freq.; (23) *R. exigua* Lw.; *Syrphidæ*: (24) *Chilosia versipellis* Will., freq.; (25) *Chrysogaster nitida* Wd.; (26) *Platycheirus hyperboreus* Staeg.; (27) *Syrphus americanus* Wd.; *Tachinidæ*: (28) *Nemoræa aldrichii* Twms.; (29) *Gonia frontosa* Say; (30) *Micropalpus fulgens* Mg.; (31) *Phorocera edwardsii* Will.; *Sarcophagidæ*: (32) *Cynomyia mortuorum* L., freq.; (33) *Sarcophaga* sp.; (34) *S. cimbicis* Twms.; *Muscidæ*: (35) *Lucilia* sp.; (36) *L. cæsar* L.; (37) *L. cornicina* F.; (38) *Morellia micans* Mcq., freq.; *Anthomyidæ*: (39) *Homalomyia prostrata* Rossi; (40) *Caricea antica* Wlk.; (41) *Phorbia acris* Wlk., ab.; (42) *P. fusciceps* Zett., ab.; *Cordyluridæ*: (43) *Scatophaga squalida* Mg.; *Oscinidæ*: (44) *Chlorops trivialis* Lw.; *Agromyzidæ*: (45) *Agromyza latipes* Mg.; (46) *A. æneiventris* Fll.

COLEOPTERA—*Lampyridæ*: (47) *Telephorus bilineatus* Say, freq.; *Ædemeridæ*: (48) *Asclera puncticollis* Say.

HEMIPTERA—*Corimelænidæ*: (49) *Corimelæna pulicaria* Ger.—all only sucking, except No. 2.

On the literature of *Sassafras* see:

(1) Hildebrand, Geschlechtsverteilung bei den Pflanzen 9. 1867. *Laurus Sassafras*: (2) Kerner, Pflanzenleben 2:297. 1891. Oliver, translation, 288 1895—*L. Sassafras*.

CARLINVILLE, ILLINOIS.